Minnesota Chapter of the Federal Bar Association

Bar Talk

Volume VI, Issue IV

May 22, 2013

www.fedbar.org/Chapters/Minnesota-Chapter.aspx

Open Doors to Federal Courts 2013 Legacy of Courage and Freedom: Dred and Harriet Scott

The 14th Annual Open Doors to Federal Courts presentation, like in years past, did not disappoint. This year's event was held April 25th at Bloomington Jefferson High School and focused on the lives of Dred and Harriet Scott, and the impact of the Dred Scott v. Sanford decision on the end to slavery in the United States. Approximately 800 high school juniors from Thomas Jefferson High School and John F. Kennedy High School in Bloomington attended the event, which was hosted by the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Dred Scott was an African American slave who sued for his freedom in 1846. Following two St. Louis circuit court trials, one appeal with the Missouri Supreme Court, and one federal trial in the United States Circuit Court for the District of Missouri, his case was finally heard by the U.S. Supreme Court. In 1857, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Dred Scott v. Sanford that all persons of African American ancestry could never become citizens of the United States, and thus could not sue in federal court.

The program, hosted by Chief District Court Judge Miand Retired City of Richfield Manager Frank White, featured a Dred and Harriet Scott living history re-enactment, and a judicial panel presentation followed by a Q&A session with students.

American History Class Presentations

In preparation for the Open Doors presentation, former Training Specialist for the U.S. District Court Charlie Cree, Assistant U.S. Attorneys Ann Anaya and Lola Velázquez-Aguilú, and Assistant Federal Defender Manny Atwal presented the history of Dred and Harriet Scott to 13 American History classrooms at Jefferson and Kennedy High Schools.

To help prepare students for the Open Doors program, Mr. Cree discussed the geographic, social, and economic make-up of the United States in the

(continued on p. 2.)



Actor Bruce A. Young and actress Dominique Jones played the parts of Dred and Harriet Scott at the Open Doors program at Bloomington Jefferson High School.

(Photograph courtesy of Katie Uline.)

chael J. Davis, District Court Judge Donovan W. Frank, early 19th century. He explained that under the 1820 Missouri compromise slavery was illegal in the North and legal in the South. During that time, a slave owner could purchase a slave in a slave state and then travel with the slave to a land where slavery was illegal. The Dred Scott decision rested on this issue.

Inside this issue:

Open Doors to Federal Courts	1
2013 FBA Law Student Awards	3
WMCL Competes at Thurgood Marshall Moot Court	4
New Member Reception	7
IP Seminar Highlights	7
Pro Se Project Updates	8

Page 2 May 22, 2013

Living History Re-Enactment

Students at this year's Open Doors presentation were treated to a performance of a living history re-enactment of the lives of Dred and Harriet Scott. Local actor, Bruce A. Young performed the role of Dred Scott, and local actress Dominique Jones performed the role of Harriet Scott. These actors told the history of the Dred Scott v. Sanford decision as it was seen through the eyes of Dred and Harriet Scott.

Young, playing Dred Scott, detailed the struggles faced while battling for freedom. Dred Scott had to prove that he was working as a slave for his owner, army surgeon Dr. John Emerson at Fort Snelling. During this time, if an officer of the army took a slave to a territory where slavery is prohibited, the officer forfeited his right to that slave. During his second state court trial, an all white jury found that Dred and Harriet Scott were free. Pre- Above, left to right, Minnesota Court of Appeals Judge Kevin G. Ross, Minnesota free, always free." The Missouri Supreme Court (Photograph courtesy of Katie Uline.) ruled otherwise, stating that "once free, always free" no longer applied. The Honorable Kevin G. Ross of moving into a neighborhood and Caucasian families moving Supreme Court held that slaves were considered to be qualifications. property of the slaveholders. He continued stating that Congress had no right to prohibit slavery anywhere.

Judicial Panel and Q&A

ludicial District, discussed how their lives have been im- require excellence. pacted by the Dred Scott v. Sanford decision. Each judge had a different upbringing, but all shared similar stories of how Judge Edward Wilson spoke of the effects of the Dred Scott civil rights movement of the 1960s.

that their children were receiving the same quality education the protests associated with Dred Scott. as Caucasian students. This fight continued for Justice Wright into the 1990s as she worked in an education sec- Students were also afforded the opportunity to ask quesstudents of all races.

Born and raised in Saint Louis, Judge Kevin Ross spoke of his riage, and what areas need to be worked experiences with "white flight;" African American families on to be equal as a society.



vious cases held that state laws no longer applied Supreme Court Justice Wilhelmina M. Wright, Hennepin County District Court to slaves taken to other jurisdictions, or "once Judge Lyonel Norris, and Ramsey County District Court Judge Edward Wilson.

the Minnesota Court of Appeals, playing the role of Fredrick out. He also described some people's view on his career Douglass, discussed the U.S. Supreme Court decision. The advancement as being simply due to his race rather than his

Judge Lyonel Norris spoke of the importance of education. Judge Norris was raised in "one of the most segregated cities," Washington, D.C., and did not attend a desegregated Following the living history re-enactment, a judicial panel school until high school. Attending Carleton College in comprised of the Honorable Wilhelmina M. Wright, Associ- Northfield, Minnesota, his college advisor told him that it ate Justice of the Minnesota Supreme Court; the Honorable was not expected for him to graduate with a college degree; Kevin G. Ross, Minnesota Court of Appeals; the Honorable that the coursework was too rigorous. An influential col-Lyonel Norris, Hennepin County Fourth Judicial District; lege professor, the late Senator Paul Wellstone, changed and the Honorable Edward Wilson, Ramsey County Second Judge Norris' mentality in college, telling him to expect and

they continued to experience segregation, even after the decision on history. He explained that this case really got people thinking critically. This decision strengthened the Republican Party, which ultimately led to the election of Justice Wilhelmina Wright described her childhood in Nor- President Abraham Lincoln. Judge Wilson encourages peofolk, Virginia, which was still mostly a segregated community. ple to not focus on the negativity of Dred Scott, but focus on Parents of African American children worked hard to ensure the positives; focus on what changes happened because of

tion of a law firm fighting to ensure quality education for tions of the judicial panel. Questions raised included the panelists' opinion on similarities between the Dred Scott decision with the pending Supreme Court decision on gay mar-(continued on b. 3.)

May 22, 2013 | Bar Talk Page 3

Congratulations to the 2013 FBA Law Student Award Recipients!

On April 10th the Minnesota Chapter of the FBA held the 31st Annual Law Student Scholarship Award celebration, which took place at Hamline University School of Law. The awards recognize students who have shown exceptional scholarship in the area of federal courts and federal practice.





who awarded each recipient their respective award: Chief Judge Michael J. Davis and Chris Schmitter (University of Minnesota Law School); Judge Donovan W. Frank and Amran Farah (Hamline University School of Law); Terrence R. Schnurr (University of St. Thomas Law School) and Judge Susan Richard Nelson; and Lauren D'Cruz (William Mitchell College of Law) and Judge Paul A. Magnuson.

(Pictured left, left to right) Dean Donald Lewis and Amran Farah, Hamline University School of Law; Dean David Wippman and Chris Schmitter, University of Minnesota Law School; Dean Robert Vischer and Terrence R. Schnurr, University of St. Thomas Law School; and Lauren D'Cruz and Dean Eric Janus, William Mitchell College of Law.

The recipients of this year's awards are as follows:

(Photographs courtesy of Erica Davis.)

- Judge Earl R. Larson Award: Chris Schmitter, University of Minnesota Law School
- Harry A. Sieben Award: Lauren D'Cruz, William Mitchell College of Law
- Judge Jacob Dim Award: **Amran Farah**, Hamline University School of Law
- Judge Earl R. Larson Award: **Terrence R. Schnurr**, University of St. Thomas Law School.

Congratulations to each for their well-deserved recognition and commitment to federal courts and practice!

(Open Doors, continued from p. 2.)

Those interested in learning more about the legacy of Dred and Harriet Scott are invited to attend Legacy of Courage and Freedom: Dred and Harriet Scott at the Civic Plaza in Bloomington, Minnesota, tonight, Wednesday, May 22, 2013, at 6:30 p.m.

An encore presentation of the living history reenactment, as well as a presentation from keynote speaker Lynne Jackson, great-greatgranddaughter of Dred and Harriet Scott, will begin at 7:00 p.m.

Kathryn Uline is the Financial Administrator for the U.S. District Court and a member of the Communications Committee.

Page 4 Bar Talk | May 22, 2013

A FIRST FOR MINNESOTA: MINNESOTA AMONG STATES REPRESENTED IN 2013 THURGOOD MARSHALL MOOT COURT COMPETITION

The FBA Sixteenth Annual Thurgood A. Marshall Memorial other claims) Moot Court Competition took place in Washington, D.C., seeking on April 4 and 5. Thanks in part to the Minnesota Chap-judgment, ter's generous financial sponsorship, a team of students dants answered the from William Mitchell College of Law was able to compete complaint and filed a and make a good showing. This is the first time in the counter-claim, competition's existence that a Minnesota team has partici- questing declaratory pated. The team consisted of Casey Stanley and Kevin Hill, judgment with reboth rising third-year law students, and their coaches, Cliff spect to the constitu-Greene and Janine Wetzel, attorneys at Greene Espel tionality of the elimi-PLLP, who graciously gave of their time to coach the stu- nated right to civil dents over a three-month period.

As background, the competition started in 1997 and has been an annual event sponsored by the FBA's Younger Lawyers Division ("YLD"). Several aspects of the competition make it one of the premier moot court competitions in the nation. First, every round of the competition is held at a courthouse in Washington, D.C., over the course of two days (from the Superior Court of D.C., to the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, and concluding at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces), as opposed to a law school or office setting. The opportunity for law students to present oral arguments in actual courtrooms is an invaluable experience. Second, all of the volunteers who serve as judges in the competition are actual state or federal judges, practitioners or scholars, thereby reinforcing the "real world" experience for the law students. Third, law students have an opportunity at the awards reception that immediately follows the competition to network with federal court practitioners and judges who travel from amended complaint, which was around the country to attend the FBA's Mid-Year Meeting. Finally, each law student participant in the competition re- claratory judgment request. The State Circuit Court orceives a free one-year FBA membership.

While 49 teams signed up, 45 teams representing law schools across the country competed, making this year's competition by far the most challenging and competitive in Having briefed their respective positions on questions cer-

declaratory Defenjury trials. Later, the husband and wife sought leave to file an



(Above) Overall Cham-Team, Amanda Leone and Sean Kennedy, Seton Hall School of Law, with Judge Andrew Effron.

(Left) Second place team, Alexander Noble and Lily Ockert, New York Law School, with Judge Andrew Effron.

(Photos courtesy of FBA.)

granted, and filed a motion to dismiss the Defendants' dedered sua sponte that the amended complaint be stricken from the record and ultimately the parties' issues found their way to the U.S. Supreme Court.

the competition's history. This year's Problem also added tified to the U.S. Supreme Court relating to the right to a a thicker layer of complexity to the competition than in jury trial under the Seventh and Fourteenth Amendments years past. The Problem centered on a fictional husband and the First Amendment Right to Petition, the law stu-(loe Public) and wife (lane Private) who lived in a State dents presented their oral arguments. Teams were elimiwhere the statutory right to jury trials in civil cases had nated over the course of two days: first from a cut of 45 to recently been repealed and who had allegedly been de- 16 on Thursday; then from a cut of 16 to 8, 8 to 4, and 4 famed by a popular daily tabloid entertainment news pro- to 2 on Friday. Those two teams that made it to the Final gram that aired regularly on a broadcast channel. After Round were able to present their case to the Final Round filing suit against the program's producer and the channel's panel. The Final Round panel consisted of the Honorable owner (a Delaware corporation) for defamation (among Andrew Effron, U.S. Senior Court Judge for the U.S. Court Mary 22, 2013 | Bar Talk Page 5

of Appeals for the Armed Forces; the Honorable Gustavo Gelpi, U.S. District Court Judge for the District of Puerto Rico; Brigadier General Kyle Goerke, Special Assistant to The Judge Advocate General, Army National Guard; Robert DeSousa, FBA President and State Director for U.S. Senator Pat Toomey; and Alfredo Castellanos, partner at Castellanos & Gierbolini and this year's Problem author and longtime Final Round judge. Sean Kennedy and Amanda Leone, law students from Seton Hall School of Law came out on top as the Overall Champion Team of the competition. Alexander Noble and Lily Ockert, law students from New York Law School, finished second. The remaining winners of the competition are as follows:

	•
2013 Moot Court Winners	
First place Team:	Seton Hall (Team 24)
Second place Team:	New York Law School (Team 18)
Third place Team:	UC Hastings College of Law (Team 29)
First Brief:	Seton Hall (Team 24)
Second Brief:	New York Law School (Team 18)
Third Brief:	University of Miami (Team 37)
First Oralist (Prelim):	Jacqueline Hamer - Baylor Law School (Team 2)
Second Oral- ist (Prelim):	Stephen Bachran - St. Mary's (Team 28)
Third Oralist (Prelim):	Benjamin Rigg - University of Dayton (Team 33)
Best Final Round Oral- ist:	Sean Kennedy - Seton Hall (Team 24)

It should not go without saying that Casey and Kevin went head to head with Sean and Amanda on day one of the competition, and the rest is history. Nonetheless, both Casey and Kevin reflect positively on their "ground-breaking" experience. Kevin stated, "Thurgood Marshall was a terrific learning experience. The entire process dovetailed well with the courses I was taking at the time, which allowed me to put substantive knowledge to practical use." He added, "The problem was interesting and challenging. When adding the competition to three internships and a full course load, it made me better at time management and gave me a good idea of what it will eventually be like in



Pictured above: Overall Champion Team and second place team with Final Panel judges, left to right, Alfredo Castellanos (Problem author), Judge Gustavo Gelpi, Judge Andrew Effron, FBA President Bob DeSousa and General Kyle Goerke. (*Photo courtesy of FBA*.)



Pictured above, Final Panel judges at the conclusion of the moot court competition. (*Photo courtesy of FBA.*)

practice." Casey and Kevin found the experience to be rewarding and recommend the competition to any law student interested in federal practice.

Next year, the bar will be raised even higher as 50 teams are expected to compete. The Minnesota Chapter is hopeful to have another Minnesota law school team (and maybe two or three) compete and represent Minnesota, at least from the team perspective. Minnesota is already being represented in the competition. Adine Momoh, an attorney at Leonard, Street and Deinard, P.A. and Co-Chair of the Minnesota Chapter's Law School Outreach Committee,

Page 6 Bar Talk | May 22, 2013

served as Co-Director of the competition for 2013 and will serve as the Director of the competition for 2014. But given the talent and skill that the law students in Minnesota possess, a Minnesota law school team needs to compete, and over time, Minnesota will climb closer to number one. If you know of any law students eager to learn about federal practice, please encourage them to participate in the Thurgood A. Marshall Memorial Moot Court Competition, and if you are willing to serve as a coach of a local law school team, please contact Adine at adine.momoh@leonard.com and Karin Ciano, co-Chair of the Law School Outreach Committee, at karin.ciano@gmail.com.

Adine S. Momoh is a member of the Communications Committee and an attorney at Leonard, Street and Pictured above, left to right: Deinard, P.A., where her practice consists of complex Elizabeth Kronk (FBA Board business and commercial litigation, securities litigation, estates and trusts litigation, and banking and financial services representation in the firm's Business and Commercial Litigation group, with a focus on lund, (Minnesota Chapter repcreditors' rights and bankruptcy. Ms. Momoh is also a resentatives), and Kevin Hill board member of the National Board of Directors for the FBA Younger Lawyers Division, a board member of the Minnesota Chapter's Board of Directors and a for $mer\ law\ clerk\ to\ the\ Honorable\ Jeanne\ J.\ Graham,\ (Photo\ courtesy\ of\ FBA.)$ United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Pictured below left: FBA President Bob DeSousa and Competition Co-Director Adine Momoh announcing the competition winners.

Pictured below right: Competition Co-Director Kelly Scalise, FBA President Bob DeSousa, Competition Co-Director Adine Momoh and Thurgood Marshall Moot Court Committee Member Joey Bowers.

and Casey Stanley

Stanley and Kevin Hill with coach Janine Wetzel, Green Espel PLLP.





May 22, 2013 | Bar Talk Page 7

Chapter Hosts New Member Reception

The Minnesota Chapter of the FBA was pleased to host a reception to encourage new members to join the Minnesota Chapter of the FBA and also welcome new members to the Chapter. The reception was hosted by the law firm of Halunen & Associates. Chief Judge Michael J. Davis, Tiffany Sanders, Pro Se Project Director, and Clayton D. Halunen, Co-Chair of Membership, gave remarks. Also in attendance were District Court Judge Donovan W. Frank and Magistrate Judges Steven E. Rau and Tony N. Leung. For years, the Minnesota Chapter has remained the second largest chapter in the United States, second only to the New Orleans Chapter. Encourage those you know to join the Minnesota Chapter, and be sure to renew your own membership!







Patently Relevant: Annual IP Seminar a Success!

On Tuesday, May 14, 2013, the Intellectual Property cuit's Bard v. Gore decision, holding that the objectivesection and Fredrikson & Byron hosted a CLE titled prong of a willful infringement claim is a matter of law Patently Relevant: Recent Developments in Patent Litiga- for the district court to decide; and (3) the impact tion. Panelists included Judge Donovan W. Frank, and utility of model e-discovery orders. Magistrate Judge Jeffrey J. Keyes, Matthew nately, the recency of the CLS Bank and Monsanto de-Samuels of Fish & Richardson, and Aaron Myers cisions prevented in-depth discussion regarding those of Barnes & Thornburg. The panel was mediated by opinions, but they may be prime candidates for the Tim O'Shea of Fredrikson & Byron.

The discussion centered on three main points: (1) the impact of the America Invents Act on patent litigation, particularly post-grant validity challenges and the codification of the Seagate standard for willful infringement claims; (2) the impact of the Federal Cir-

next Patently Relevant session.

Samuel L. Walling is an attorney with Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi LLP, and practices in the areas of intellectual property, securities and business litigation.

Page 8 Bar Talk | May 22, 2013

PRO SE PROJECT HOSTED SUCCESSFUL TRAINING SEMINARS FOR VOLUNTEER ATTORNEYS

Project and the recently implemented Pilot Early Settle- by a cocktail and hors d'oeuvres reception. ment Conference Project ("ESCP"). Steven Andrew Smith, Nichols Kaster, PLLP; Kelly Jeanetta, Kelly A. Jeanetta Law Firm, LLC; and Karin Ciano, Karin Ciano Law, PLLC; presented on the employment law panel, and Ryan Vettelson, Gaskins Bennett Birrell Schupp LLP; David Shulman, Law Office of David L. Shulman, PLLC; and Dan Hedlund, Gustafson Gluek, PLLC; were presenters on the civil rights panel. Chief Magistrate Judge Arthur J. Boylan and Magis-



Steven A. Smith, Nichols Kaster, PLLP (above) and Kelly Jeanetta, Kelly A. Jeanetta Law Firm, LLC (below) presented Employment Law 101, and were later joined for a panel discussion by Karin Ciano, Karin Ciano Law, PLLC.



The Pro Se Project held its first seminar on April 18, 2013 trate Judge Steven E. Rau spoke to the attendees on alterto educate attorneys on employment and civil rights laws - native means to evaluate Pro Se Project cases and the benethe types of cases the Court most often refers to the Pro fits of participating in the Pro Se Project. Lunch was pro-Se Project - and to encourage participation in the Pro Se vided, and the well-attended all-day seminar was followed

> The Pro Se Project's second seminar, held on May 16, 2013, educated lawyers in Social Security Disability Income (SSDI) appeals, cases the Court frequently refers to the Pro Se Project. Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel; Fay E. Fishman, Peterson & Fishman, PLLP; and Laurence Reszetar, Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP; presented on SSDI law, issue spotting, brief writing, attorney fees, and ethical considerations for working on SSDI appeals. Lunch was served to the attendees in this half-day seminar.

> Thanks to Chief Judge Michael J. Davis, both seminars were held in the jury assembly room of the Minneapolis Courthouse. Rhonda Firner of Carlson Caspers Vandenburgh Lindquist & Schuman played an instrumental role in organizing and overseeing both seminars. Special thanks goes out to Lou Jean Gleason, U.S. District Court's Minneapolis Division Manager, Mary McKay, Executive Assistant to the Clerk of Court, Katie McCarthy, U.S. District Court Jury Clerk, and Andy Seldon, U.S. District Court Director of Information Services for their excellent work in making the Pro Se Project seminars a tremendous success.

Chief Judge Davis Recognized Pro Se Project Volunteer Attorneys at Duluth's Annual Law Day

Chief Judge Davis was the keynote speaker at the May I, 2013 annual Law Day luncheon in Duluth. In his address to the packed luncheon audience, Chief Judge Davis stressed the importance of pro bono work, encouraged participation in the Pro Se Project as a means to fulfill pro bono obligations, and recognized Duluth attorneys who have volunteered through the Pro Se Project. Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois, retired Magistrate Judge Raymond L. Erickson, and Tiffany Sanders, Pro Se Project Coordinator, attended the luncheon. Due to Chief Judge Davis' enthusiastic endorsement of the Pro Se Project and his sincere appreciation of the volunteers, several Duluth attorneys expressed their

May 22, 2013 | Bar Talk Page 9



Dan Hedlund, Gustafson Gluek, PLLC; Ryan Vettelson, Gaskins Bennett Birrell Schupp LLP; and David Shulman, Law Office of David L. Shulman, PLLC, presented on Civil Rights 101.

was fortunate as Magistrate Judge Brisbois referred four cases venued in Duluth to the project on May 8, 2013.

Judge Schiltz Presented on the Pro Se Project to Dorsey & Whitney's Litigation Group

At the generous invitation of George Eck, the Honorable Patrick J. Schiltz and Tiffany Sanders presented to the litigation group at Dorsey & Whitney on the Pro Se Project and the importance of their lawyers' participation. Judge Schiltz explained the benefits of volunteering through the Pro Se Project to the pro se litigants who have no legal training, to the Courts that are increasingly doing more with fewer resources, and to the lawyers themselves by enriching their practice, gaining experience, and preventing "atrophy" that can occur when attorneys consistently practice in the same area of the law. Judge Schiltz and Ms. Sanders were well received at the Dorsey & Whitney firm, invited back to present on the Pilot Early Settlement Conference Project, and the Pro Se Project recruited several additional volunteer attorneys to review the Court's referrals.

Pro Se Project will Participate in Chief Legal Officers Group Seminar on In-House Counsel Pro Bono Work to Assist Pro Se Litigants

On May 30, 2013, Target Corporation will host a Chief Legal Officers Group (CLOG) seminar titled, "Here for Good:

Trends and Best Practices in Corporate Pro Bono." Chief Judge Michael J. Davis, along with the Honorable Wilhelmina M. Wright, Associate Minnesota Supreme Court Justice, and the Honorable Jay M. Quam, Hennepin County District Court Judge, will present on a panel moderated by Tiffany Sanders. The panel will discuss common challenges faced by the judiciary in Minnesota's state and federal courts, including the effect of increasing numbers of pro se litigants in the district courts. The panel will offer suggestions and examples of the varied ways in-house counsel can assist the judiciary in meeting these challenges, including participation in the Pro Se Project and the Pilot Early Settlement Conference Project. David March, Senior Counsel for Target, is organizing the event, and expects more than 150 in-house counsel from the Twin Cities' largest corporations to attend the CLOG seminar. CLOG's inclusion of Chief Judge Davis and interest in participating in the Pro Se Project. The timing Tiffany Sanders in this important seminar provides an outstanding opportunity to showcase the Pro Se Project to the Twin Cities' in-house counsel.



Magistrate Judge Steven E. Rau and Arthur J. Boylan shared with attendees at the Pro Se Project training seminar insights and perspectives from the bench on the value of the Pro Se Project.

Tiffany A. Sanders is the Coordinator of the Pro Se Project. More information about the Pro Se Project is available at

http://www.fedbar.org/Chapters/Minnesota-Chapter/Chapter-Initiatives.aspx.

FBA members interested in volunteering may contact Tiffany Sanders at proseproject@q.com or (612) 965-3711.

Page 10 BarTalk | Mary 22, 2013



dis·cov·ery man·age·ment

\'nait_'aul\ \dis-'kə-v(ə-)rē\

noun

- 1 a. the act or process of partnering with a nationally trusted company that will guide your organization clearly through each step of the complex discovery process with best-practices and proven workflows
- 2 a. a programmatic approach with cost-predictable, flat-rate, fully-managed discovery programs to suit your unique business needs
 - b. flexible and dynamic multi-year engagements which help lower capital expenditures and improve defensibility by use of an expert partner



For more information, please contact Adam Rubinger at 612.834.2000

Printed Courtesy of NightOwl Discovery on Recycled Paper

Communications Committee

Ashlee Bekish

Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, Heins, Mills & Olson, P.L.C. P.C.

Marc Betinskky

Law Clerk to the Honorable Richard H. Kyle Jon Marquet

Kate Buzicky

Assistant United States Attorney

Tara Craft

Seaton, Peters & Revnew, P.A.

Trish Furlong

Law Clerk to the Honorable Steven E. Rau

Michael Goodwin

Jardine, Logan & O'Brien, P.L.L.P.

Jeff Justman

Faegre Baker Daniels, L.L.P.

Steve Katras

Law Clerk to the Honorable Janie S.

Mayeron

Katherine Kelly

Kirstin Kanski (Co-Chair)

Lindquist & Vennum L.L.P.

Bassford Remele, P.A.

Adine S. Momoh

Leonard, Street and Deinard, P.A.

Kerri Nelson

Bassford Remele, P.A.

Erin Oglesbay

Target Corp.

Timothy O'Shea

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.

Paul Sand

Larson King, L.L.P.

Tammy Schemmel (Co-Chair)

Barna, Guzy & Steffen, Ltd.

Ryan Schultz

Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P.

Paige Stradley

Merchant & Gould, P.C.

Bridget Sullivan

Shepherd Data Services

Vildan Teske

Crowder Teske, P.L.L.P.

Kathryn Uline

United States District Court, Clerk of Court's Office

Joe Wearmouth

Barna, Guzy & Steffen, Ltd.

A special thank you to Rebecca Baertsch,

Judicial Assistant to the Honorable

Donovan W. Frank.

for her proofreading expertise.

connect



Apt. #

through the Federal Bar Association

The Federal Bar Association offers an unmatched array of opportunities and services to enhance your connections to the judiciary, the legal profession, and your peers within the legal community. Our mission is to strengthen the federal legal system and administration of justice by serving the interests and the needs of the federal practitioner, both public and private, the federal judiciary, and the public they serve.

Advocacy

The opportunity to make a change and improve the federal legal system through grassroots work in over 80 FBA chapters and a strong national advocacy.

Networking

Connect with a network of federal practitioners extending across all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

Leadership

Governance positions within the association help shape the FBA's future and make an impact on the growth of the federal legal community. Learning

Explore best practices and new ideas at the many Continuing Legal Education programs offered throughout the year—at both the national and chapter levels.

Title (e.g. Attorney At Law, Partner, Assistant U.S. Attorney)

Which do you prefer as your primary address? O business O home

expand your connections, expand your career

Last Name

Have you been an FBA member in the past? O yes O no

THREE WAYS TO APPLY TODAY: ① Join online at www.fedbar.org; ② Fax application to (571) 481-9090; or ③ Mail application to FBA, 1220 North Fillmore St., Suite 444, Arlington, VA 22201. For more information, contact the FBA membership department at (571) 481-9100 or membership@fedbar.org.

FEDERAL BAR ASSOCIATION APPLICATION FOR MEMBERSHIP (CONTINUES ON REVERSE)

Number of Attorneys

Suffix (e.g. Jr.)

Address

Applicant Information

First Name

O Male O Female

Firm/Company/Agency

Addre	SS	Suite	/Floor	City		State Zip Country
City	()	State Zip	Country			Fax
Phone	Fax	E-mail		Date	e of Birth E-mail	
		School Information (requ	<u>P</u>	ractice Inf		○ Corporate/In-House
⊃ s	State/District:	Original Admission: /	/ P	ablic Sector:	O Government O Nonprofit O Military	O Association Counsel O University/College O Judiciary
- 0	Court of Record:		P	RIMARY PRACT	TICE AREAS	
Tribal	State:	Original Admission: /	1		O Administrative O Admiralty/Maritime O ADR/Arbitration	O Health O Immigration O Indian
'ō		Original Admission: /	/		O Antitrust/Trade O Bankruptcy O Communications O Criminal O Environment/Energy	O Intellectual Property O International O Labor/Employment O Military O Social Security O State/Local Government
9		Expected Graduation: /	1.		O Federal Litigation O Financial Institutions O General Counsel O Government Contracts	O State/Local Government O Taxation O Transportation O Veterans

connect

Membership Categories and Optional Section, Division, and Chapter Affiliations

Membership Levels

SUSTAINING MEMBERSHIP

Members of the association distinguish themselves when becoming sustaining members of the FBA. Sixty dollars of the sustaining dues are used to support educational programs and publications of the FBA. Sustaining members receive a 5% discount on the registration fees for all national meetings and national CLE events.

	Private Sector	Public Sector
Member Admitted to Practice 0-5 Years	O \$155	O \$135
Member Admitted to Practice 6-10 Years	O \$215	O \$190
Member Admitted to Practice 11+ Years	O \$255	○ \$220
Retired (Fully Retired from the Practice of Law)	> \$155	O \$155

ACTIVE MEMBERSHIP

Open to any person admitted to the practice of law before a federal court or a court of record in any of the several states, commonwealths, territories, or possessions of the United States or in the District of Columbia.

	Private Sector	Public Sector
Member Admitted to Practice 0-5 Years	\$95	O \$75
Member Admitted to Practice 6-10 Years	O \$155	○ \$130
Member Admitted to Practice 11+ Years	O \$195	O \$160
Retired (Fully Retired from the Practice of Law)	🔾 \$95	O \$95

ASSOCIATE MEMBERSHIP

Foreign Associate Admitted to practice law outside the U.S.	0	\$19	5
	0	\$30	

Dues	Total-	5	

Practice Area Sections

Alternative Dispute Resolution \$15	○ Intellectual Property &
O Antitrust and Trade Regulation \$15	Communications Law\$10
O Bankruptcy Law\$10	O International Law\$10
O Criminal Law\$10	O Labor and Employment Law \$15
O Environment, Energy, and	O Social Security\$16
Natural Resources\$15	O State and Local Government
O Federal Litigation\$10	Relations \$5
O Government Contracts,\$20	O Taxation\$15
O Health Law \$10	○ Transportation &
O Immigration Law\$10	Transportation Security Law \$20
O Indian Law	O Veterans Law \$10

Career Divisions

Career Divisions
O Federal Career Service (past/present employee of federal government)N/0
O Judiciary (past/present member or staff of a judiciary)
O Corporate & Association Courisels (past/present member of
corporate/association counsel's staff)
O Senior Lawyers* (age 55 or over)
O Younger Lawyers* (age 36 or younger or admitted less than 3 years)N/6
*For eligibility, date of birth must be provided.

Sections and Divisions Total: \$_

Chapter Affiliation

Your FBA membership entitles you to a chapter membership. Local chapter dues are indicated next to the chapter name (if applicable). If no chapter is selected, you will be assigned a chapter based on geographic location. No chapter currently located in this state or location.

Alabama	Georgia	New Jersey	South Carolina
○ Birmingham	O Atlanta-\$10	O New Jersey	O South Carolin
O Mobile	Hawaii	New Mexico*	South Dakota*
O Montgomery	O Hawaii	O At Large	O At Large
O North Alabama	Idaho	New York	Tennessee
Alaska	O Idaho	O Eastern District	O Chattanooga
O Alaska	Illinois	of New York	O Memphis
Arizona	O Chicago	O Southern	Mid-South
O Phoenix	Indiana	District of	O Nashville
O William D.	O Indianapolis	New York	O Northeast
Browning/		North Carolina	Tennessee
Tucson-\$10	lowa	O Middle	Texas
Arkansas*	O Iowa-\$10	District of	O Austin
O At Large	Kansas*	North Carolina	O Dallas-\$10
California	O At Large	O Western	O Del Rio-\$25
O Central Coast	Kentucky	District of	O El Paso
O Inland Empire	O Kentucky	North Carolina	O Fort Worth
O Los Angeles	Louisiana	North Dakota*	O San Antonio
O Northern	O Baton Rouge	O At Large	O Southern
District of	O Lafayette/	Ohio	District of
California	Acadiana	O John W. Peck/	Texas-\$25
Orange County	O New Orleans	Cincinnati/	O Waco
O Sacramento	O North	Northern	Utah
O San Diego	Louisiana	Kentucky	O Utah
O San Joaquin	Maine*	O Columbus	Vermont*
Valley	O At Large	O Dayton	O At Large
Colorado	Maryland	O Northern	A STATE OF THE STATE OF
O Colorado	O Maryland	District of	Virgin Islands
Connecticut	Massachusetts	Ohio-\$10	O Virgin Islands
O District of	O Massachusetts	Oklahoma	Virginia
Connecticut	-\$10	O Oklahoma City	O Northern
The second secon	Michigan	O Northem/	Virginia
<u>Delaware</u>	O Eastern District	Eastern	O Richmond
O Delaware	of Michigan	Oklahoma	O Tidewater
District of	O Western	T 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	Washington*
Columbia	District of	Oregon	O At Large
Capitol Hill	Michigan	O Oregon	West Virginia*
O D.C.	Minnesota	Pennsylvania	O At Large
O Pentagon	O Minnesota	O Eastern District	Wisconsin*
Florida	Mississippi	of Pennsylvania	O At Large
O Broward	O Mississippi	O Middle District	Wyoming
County		of Pennsylvania	O Wyoming
O Jacksonville	Missouri*	O Western District	
O North Central	O'At Large	of Pennsylvania	
Florida	Montana	Puerto Rico	
Orlando C	O Montana	O Hon. Raymond	
O Palm Beach	Nebraska*	L. Acosta/	
County	O At Large	Puerto Rico-\$10	
O South Florida	Nevada	Rhode Island	
O Southwest	O Nevada	O Rhode Island	
Florida	New		
O Tallahassee	Hampshire*		
-\$25	O At Large	et.seenee.v.	1. 6
O Tampa Bay	5. 100 BOLD 00	Chapter Tota	1: \$

Payment Information and Authorization Statement

RGED on, and chapter dues): \$
Federal Bar Association O MasterCard O Visa
Exp. Date
Date

By signing this application, I hereby apply for membership in the Federal Bar Association and agree to conform to its Constitution and Bylaus and to the rules and regulations prescribed by its Board of Directors. I declare that the information contained berein is true and complete. I understand that any false statements made on this application will lead to rejection of my application and/or the immediate termination of my membership. I also understand that by providing my fax number and e-mail address, I hereby consent to receive faxes and e-mail messages sent by or on behalf of the Federal Bar Association, the Foundation of the Federal Bar Association, and the Federal Bar Building Corporation.

Signature of Applicant

Date

(Signature must be included for membership to be activated)

*Contributions and dues to the FBA may be deductible by members under provisions of the IRS Code, such as an ordinary and necessary business expense, except 4.5% which is used for congressional lobbying and is not deductible. Your FBA dues include \$14 for a yearly subscription to the FBA's professional magazine.