Our discussion, organized by the Native American Financial Officers Association (NAFOA), began on a celebratory note. Indian Country has experienced positive growth rates unseen for generations. Taken as a whole, recent economic growth in Indian Country has outpaced that of the rest of the United States. With an enormous boost from the gaming industry, significant gains were made in almost every sector, including real per capita income, median household income, employment, infrastructure, and education.

As a result, income growth-based demand has created more demand for business development throughout Indian Country. Having thus assumed the mantle of self-determination, tribal governments and reservation businesses are building diverse economies, producing a vast array of goods and services, and employing and training a large cadre of professional American Indians and Alaska Natives with valuable skills.

With lingering concerns about the effects of the Great Recession and the leveling off of gaming revenue, the discussion turned to the challenges of sustaining economic growth and converting it into overall prosperity and well-being. Everyone could appreciate that, while numerous tribes have created dynamic economies over the past 30 years, far too many tribal economies still struggle to meet the basic needs of their communities. Indeed, large gaps remain between Indian Country and the rest of the country in practically every economic and social indicator.

At the crux of this conversation is the distinction between economic development and community well-being. The former tends to focus on wealth creation, while the latter emphasizes the enduring...
In the 2010 Census, 2.9 million people identified racially as AIAN-alone, representing a growth rate of 18 percent, almost twice the 9.7 percent growth rate of the nation as a whole. Within the AIAN-alone category, the non-Hispanic share of the population rose by 8.6 percent, while the Hispanic AIAN-alone population grew by 68 percent.

In 2010, AIAN-alone family households tended to be relatively large (five or more persons), and 12 percent of these households consisted of female-headed families with children, compared with 7 percent of non-AIAN households. To show a complete composite of Indian Country and to assess a community’s real capacity to grow and develop, additional demographic data must be layered into this basic information, such as the elderly population, household size and income levels, proximity to services, and an array of institutional infrastructure.

Several salient points follow from these statistics. First, Indian Country is experiencing significant shifts in ethnic and geographical population trends. Second, while national numbers give a wide-angle view of Indian Country, economic conditions and social factors differ widely from region to region and tribe to tribe, making self-determination a fairly distinctive calculation. Third, the federal government must heed these trends and demographic variations in its own calibration of budgets and resources to serve the diverse AIAN population. Finally, when a community is identifiable with a particular place, economic development is a complementary concept with community well-being. Both are intimately connected with capacity, governance, safety, and identity in that locality.
In this work, the predominant focus and value of community development thus is to improve people's lives.

**Resources and Strategies To Support Indian Country’s Development Needs**

Among the many organizations and institutions such as NAFOA involved in supporting Indian Country development is the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, which has long promoted sustainable development, financial education, and significant research on tribal economies. The Minneapolis Fed intends to broaden the scope and scale of this work through its new Center for Indian Country Development. With an admittedly broad mission of helping tribes attain their economic development goals, the center will operate on a national platform and will delve into four critical focus areas of tribal economic development and community well-being: (1) land, to support the best economic use and effective governance of land; (2) business and entrepreneurship, to develop and provide resources for tribal and small businesses; (3) education, particularly early childhood development, to highlight effective educational programs; and (4) homeownership, to support a better understanding of homeownership opportunities and challenges. These efforts fit well with the Fed’s Community Reinvestment Act responsibilities and its related community development mission of fostering economic growth by promoting fair, impartial, and efficient access to credit and capital in low- and moderate-income areas.14 These four focus areas, foundational pillars to dynamic economic growth, also support developing communities rich in resources for their children and creating inheritance for the future.

In Indian Country, the challenges to self-governance are multidimensional and deeply rooted in history. The additional complexity of shifting ethnic and geographic demographic trends requires a critical understanding of the growth patterns of American Indian communities and the economic challenges of providing services to expanding tribal areas. It also requires taking a multi-tiered approach to community development that includes equal parts of entrepreneurial and innovative thinking; access to capital and financial services for individuals; comprehensive political and legal infrastructures; and champions who will persever, notwithstanding a complicated land tenure system and a thicket of formalistic policy rules.

At a time when the needs of Indian Country are so great and the resources available to meet those needs are so scarce, it behoves all of us to think broadly about our roles and responsibilities. By partnering with other community stakeholders, organizations, and foundations that are working at the ground level, we can help address existing needs and lay the groundwork for stronger economies and burgeoning well-being.

Everyone who works in Indian Country knows that this is a formidable task. Nonetheless, the remarkable economic gains made in the past three decades and the keen commitment to Indian Country development is tremendously encouraging. Discerning the needs of both people and place will, I believe, make for stronger, resilient, and prosperous communities in the future.15
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